Cell Cycle Regulator p27 Mediates Body Mass Index Effects in Ovarian Cancer in FIGO-stages I-II

INGIRIDUR SKIRNISDOTTIR¹, HELENA AKERUD², TOMAS SEIDAL³ and INGER SUNDSTROM-POROMAA¹

¹Department of Women's and Children's Health, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden;

²Department of Immunology, Genetics and Pathology Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden;

³Department of Pathology, Halmstad Medical Center Hospital, Halmstad, Sweden

Abstract. *Background/Aim:* The aim of the present study was to evaluate the association between body mass index (BMI), the biomarker p27, and the clinical factors in FIGO-stages I-II ovarian cancer. *Patients and Methods:* A total of 128 patients with ovarian cancer were included in the study. For testing differences in univariate analyzes we used the Pearson's Chi-square test and the log-rank test. For multivariate analyses the logistic regression and Cox regression models were used with recurrent disease and disease-free survival as endpoints, respectively. *Results:* Patients with BMI ≤ 25 kg/m² had a significantly better 5-year disease-free survival compared with patients with BMI > 25 kg/m² in the total series of patients ($p=0.008$), and in the series of patients ($n=77$) with non-serous tumors ($p=0.047$). Patients with p27-positive non-serous tumors had higher survival compared to patients with p27-negative non-serous tumors ($p=0.020$). *Conclusion:* The cell cycle regulator p27 mediates BMI effects in ovarian cancer in FIGO-stages I-II.

Obesity is a known risk factor and poor prognostic factor for many co-morbidities including cancer (1). However, the influence of body mass index (BMI) on ovarian cancer outcomes is inconclusive (2, 3). One of the reasons for the discrepant findings is that associations between obesity and ovarian cancer survival may differ by stage, with decreased survival among those with localized disease (FIGO-stages I-II), and increased survival among those with late-stage

disease (4). However, a meta-analysis including 14 studies concluded that obese women with ovarian cancer had a 17% worse survival compared to those of normal weight (5). In FIGO-stages I-II ovarian cancer patients, no difference was found in survival between obese and overweight patients compared with the normal and underweight patients. Furthermore, perioperative or postoperative morbidity and adjuvant oncologic treatment were not affected by BMI (6). From a further study on ovarian cancer, including 446 patients with FIGO-stages I-IV who underwent primary surgery and chemotherapy, only FIGO-stage and age were independent and significant prognostic factors. Thus, obese and overweight patients did not have a worse survival than normal weight and underweight patients. The prognostic impact of BMI on survival was noted only for the underweight patients with serous tumors (7).

Although BMI is an adequate indicator of overweight and obese patients in clinical studies, it does not reflect the obesity-induced metabolic changes that may be involved in carcinogenesis. Indeed, adipose tissue is a highly active endocrine and metabolic organ, secreting a range of pro-inflammatory factors, including the protein hormone leptin (8). Leptin influences cell proliferation and apoptosis in a human ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR-3 (9). Furthermore, leptin exposure lead to concomitant suppression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 and p27, respectively. Thus, 1.9-fold suppression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p21 and 1.2-fold suppression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27 have been detected (9). As wild-type p53 is a negative regulator of cell cycle control, loss of the p53 function may allow oncogenic lesions in the genome, as its pro-apoptotic regulation has been lost. Inhibitors of cyclin-dependent kinases inhibit the activities of cyclin dependent kinases such as p21 and p27, which are regulators of the cell cycle and are preventing the cell from entering the S phase. Defects in the genes downstream of p53, such as p27, will also cause deregulation of the cell cycle (10).

Given these findings, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of BMI on some biological factors, such

This article is freely accessible online.

Correspondence to: Ingiridur Skirnisdottir, Department of Women's and Children's Health Uppsala University SE-751 85 Uppsala, Sweden. Tel: +46 1861100, Fax: +46 18559775, e-mail: ingiridur.skirnisdottir@kbh.uu.se

Key Words: BMI, p27, non-serous tumors, ovarian cancer, FIGO-stages I-II.

as p27, and the clinical prognostic factors in early stages of ovarian cancer (FIGO-stages I-II).

Materials and Methods

In total, 128 patients out of the 140 consecutive patients with FIGO-stage (1988 FIGO-staging) I-II epithelial ovarian cancer, who underwent primary surgery and post-surgical chemotherapy in the Uppsala-Örebro Medical Region during the 5-year period from January 1, 2000 to December 31, 2004, were included in this retrospective cohort study. These patients were also included in a study of BMI (6), however, without any immunohistochemistry. All samples were collected with the patients' informed consent, in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration, and used in accordance with the Swedish Biobank Legislation and Ethical Review Act, approved by the Uppsala Ethical Review Board, decision (ref. UPS-03-477). Overall, 131 patients accepted to participate, and among these, three lacked information about height or weight at the start of post-surgical treatment, leaving 128 patients available for analysis.

The primary surgery was performed at nine different surgical gynecological departments and the staging procedure was undertaken at the time of primary surgery. Modified surgical staging according to the EORTC surgical staging (11) was undertaken in 37 (29%) of the 128 cases, and in the remaining 91 (71%) patients, surgical staging was regarded as minimal or inadequate according the same guidelines. Patients' characteristics, including age, BMI, performance status of the patients (WHO), FIGO-stage, serous/non-serous histology and types of ovarian tumors (Type I and Type II), according to combinations of histological subtype and FIGO-grade, are summarized in Table I. We categorized BMI as normal-weight and underweight (<25.0 kg/m²) or obese and overweight (≥ 25.0 kg/m²). All patients had chemotherapy 4-6 weeks after the primary surgery, most commonly paclitaxel 175 mg/m² and carboplatin (AUC=5) at 3-week intervals usually for four courses (n=104), or single-drug carboplatin for 4-6 courses (n=24). The mean follow-up time was 65 months (range=5-110 months). Survival was defined from the date of confirmed histological diagnosis after primary surgery to the date of recurrence, death, or last visit.

Sampling and tissue microarray construction of ovarian cancer tissue. The specimens were obtained from the paraffin blocks containing the embedded tumor tissue, removed from the tumor at primary surgery. After staining with hematoxylin and eosin they were classified and graded by a single pathologist. The tissue microarrays were constructed as described previous (12). In brief, tumor tissues were embedded in paraffin and 5 µm sections stained with hematoxylin-eosin were obtained to select representative areas for biopsies. Core tissue biopsy specimens (diameter 0.6 mm) were taken from these areas of individual donor paraffin blocks and precisely arrayed into a new recipient paraffin block with a custom-built instrument. Tissue core specimens from 131 ovarian carcinomas were arranged in three recipient paraffin blocks. Two core biopsies were obtained from each specimen. The presence of tumor tissue on the arrayed samples was verified by hematoxylin-eosin-stained section by a pathologist.

Tissue microarray, immunohistochemistry, and interpretation. Five µm thick sections were cut from each multi tissue block and were put on coated slides and dried overnight at 37°C. The sections were pre-treated by heat-induced epitope retrieval in target- retrieval

solution (Dako), pH=6 or EDTA buffer pH=9, for 7+7 min in microwave oven (99°C). Blocking with peroxidase was performed for 5 min. The slides were counterstained with hematoxylin for 2 min. The following monoclonal primary antibodies was used: NCL-p27 (dilution 1:40; Vision Biosystems Novocastra, Newcastle, UK). The immunostainings were performed in an Autostainer automated machine (Dako) using REAL Envision detection system (Dako). The work of tissue-microarray construction was undertaken at the Department of Pathology, the University Hospital MAS in Malmö in South-Sweden, but the immunohistochemical analyses and interpretation were performed at the Department of Pathology, Halmstad Medical Central Hospital. The IHC stains were interpreted by two of the authors (IS and TS). At the time for evaluation, no information was available on the specific diagnosis and prognosis for the individual cases. A semi-quantitative analysis (13) was used and the stainings were graded as negative, +, ++, and +++ for p27. This marker was dichotomized (14) classified and graded by a single pathologist. The tissue microarrays were constructed as described previously and a semi-quantitative analysis of the immunohistochemistry was performed. The stainings were graded as negative, +, ++, and +++ for p27. This marker was dichotomized into negative and positive (+, ++, +++) cases. The staining for p27 was considered positive when strong granular staining of the nuclei and cytoplasm of the tumor cells was found. Information about the primary antibodies and immunohistochemical analyses can be found in previous studies (15-18).

Statistical analysis. The Pearson's Chi-square test was used for testing proportional differences in bivariate analyses. The survival curves were generated by using the Kaplan-Meier technique and differences between these curves were tested by the log-rank test. For multivariate analyses, logistic regression and Cox regression were used with recurrent disease and disease-free survival as endpoints, respectively. All tests were two-sided and the level of statistical significance was $p \leq 0.05$. The STATISTICA 13.3 (StatSoft™) statistical package was, used for the analyses.

Results

Patients. The study population included 77 Type I tumors (60.2%) and 51 Type II tumors (39.8%) (Table I). The majority (84.4%) of the patients had stage I disease and the majority (60.2%) of the tumors were classified as Type I tumors. The p27 status did not differ between serous and non-serous tumors ($p=0.608$).

Primary cure was achieved in all 128 patients. The total number of recurrences was 34 (27 %), and 22 of these patients (67%) died due to the disease. In the complete series, recurrent disease was significantly associated with FIGO sub-stages ($p=0.0005$), FIGO-grade ($p=0.030$), and adequate surgical staging ($p=0.033$). In the complete series, the 5-year disease-free survival rate was 68%, the disease-specific survival rate 76%, and overall survival rate was 71%.

Clinical features according to BMI. Some clinical and pathological features for the total series of patients were compared according to BMI strata (Table II). Thus,

Table I. Patient characteristics (N=128).

Age (median)	59.0 years
BMI	
BMI $\leq$ 25	69 (53.9)
BMI>25	59 (46.1)
WHO performance status	
0	34 (26.8)
1	66 (52.0)
2	21 (16.5)
3	6 (4.7)
FIGO-stage	
IA+B	44 (34.4)
IC	64 (50.0)
II	20 (15.6)
Histopathology*	
Serous ovarian tumors	49 (39.2)
Non-serous ovarian tumors	77 (60.8)
Mucinous	20 (26.0)
Endometrioid	41 (53.2)
Clear cell	16 (20.8)
Types of ovarian tumors**	
Type I tumors	77 (60.2)
Low-grade (G1) serous	13
Mucinous (G1+G2+G3)	20
Low-grade endometrioid (G1+G2)	28
Clear cell	16
Type II tumors	51 (39.8)
High-grade (G2+G3) serous	36
High-grade (G3) endometrioid	13
Anaplastic	2

*Histopathology (the two tumors of anaplastic histology were not included). **Tumors divided in Type I and Type II according to combination of histological subtype and FIGO-grade.

overweight and obese (BMI >25.0) patients were older ($p=0.005$) and more frequently had tumors of lower grade (G1+G2) compared to normal and underweight (BMI $\leq$ 25.0) patients ($p=0.037$). Patients with Type I tumors had significantly ($p=0.046$) higher BMI compared with Type II tumors. Recurrent disease was more frequently ($p=0.025$) found among patients with Type II tumors (not shown in the table). Furthermore, recurrent disease was more frequently ($p=0.011$) found among overweight and obese patients (BMI >25.0). Finally, in the survival analysis (Figure 1) for the whole series of patients (n=128), normal and underweight patients (BMI $\leq$ 25.0) had a 5-year disease-free survival of 91% compared to survival of 77% for overweight and obese patients (BMI >25.0) (Log-rank=32.920; $p=0.008$).

Biological features according to BMI groups

The cell cycle regulator p27. Expression of the cell cycle regulator p27 in relation to clinical characteristics across the two BMI strata is demonstrated in Table III. Positivity for p27 was associated ($p=0.002$) with normal and underweight (BMI

Table II. Clinical features compared between BMI strata.

BMI strata	BMI $\leq$ 25	BMI>25	
N (%)	69 (54%)	59 (33%)	<i>p</i> -Value
Age (mean)	58 years	62 years	
<i>p</i> -Value (<i>t</i> -test)			0.005
Histopathology*			
Serous	27 (40)	22 (37)	
Non-serous	40 (60)	37 (63)	
<i>p</i> -Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.729
Tumor grade			
G1+G2	33 (48)	39 (66)	
G3**	36 (52)	20 (34)	
<i>p</i> -Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.037
Type of tumors			
Type I	36 (52)	41 (69)	
Type II	33 (48)	18 (31)	
<i>p</i> -Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.046
FIGO-stage			
IA-IB	25 (36)	19 (32)	
IC	37 (54)	27 (46)	
II	7 (10)	13 (22)	
<i>p</i> -Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.180
Recurrent disease			
Without	57 (83)	37 (63)	
With	12 (17)	22 (37)	
<i>p</i> -Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.011

*Anaplastic tumors (N=2) were excluded; **Clear cell tumors were all of Grade 3.

$\leq$ 25.0) patients for all tumors (N=128), non-serous tumors ($p=0.004$), Type II tumors ($p=0.044$) and tumors without recurrent disease ($p=0.018$). In the survival analysis of the whole series of patients (N = 128), patients with p27- positive tumors had a 5-year disease-free survival of 91% compared to survival of 79% for patients with p27-negative tumors. However, survival did not differ depending on the p27 status alone (Log-rank=31.029; $p=0.153$), data not shown.

Non-serous tumors. In the survival analysis of non-serous tumors, patients (N=40), who were normal and underweight (BMI $\leq$ 25.0) had a better survival compared with overweight and obese patients (N=37) (BMI >25.0) (Log-rank=16.340; $p=0.047$), data not shown.

In non-serous tumors (N=77) [mucinous (N=20), endometrioid (N=41) and clear cell (N=16)] the p 27 status was compared according to BMI. In the subgroup of patients with tumors of endometrioid histology, positivity for p27 was detected in 18 out of the 21 tumors (86%) in patients with BMI $\leq$ 25.0 compared with eight out of the 20 patients (40%) with BMI >25.0 ($p=0.002$). However, no differences according to p27 status and BMI in mucinous or clear cell tumors could be found. In a separate survival analysis

Figure 1. Survival analysis for the whole series of patients (N=128). Normal and underweight weight patients had a 5-year disease-free survival of 91% compared to survival of 77% for obese and overweight patients (Log-rank=32.920; $p=0.008$).

(Figure 2) for the series of patients with non-serous tumors (N=77), patients with p27 positive tumors (N=42), had a significantly better 5-year disease-free survival of 92% compared to 77% survival for patients (N=35) with p27 negative tumors (Log-rank=16.340; $p=0.020$).

Serous tumors. In serous tumors (n=49), p27 positivity did not differ between BMI groups ($p=0.237$).

Multivariable analysis. Results for bivariate and multivariable Cox-analysis with disease-free survival (DFS) as an endpoint both for the whole series of patients (N=128), and one analysis limited to patients with non-serous tumors are demonstrated in Tables IV and V, respectively. In the first analysis, FIGO-stage, Type of tumor (I/II), and BMI (BMI ≤ 25 or BMI > 25) were all significant and independent prognostic factors. In the analysis of patients with non-serous

tumors, the Type (I/II) of tumor was replaced by the grade (G1+G2/G3) of tumor as the Type I tumors are mostly non-serous tumors. Thus, both tumor grade and p27 status, but not BMI, were significant and independent prognostic factors for patients with non-serous tumors.

Results from bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis with recurrent disease as the endpoint for the whole series of patients are presented in Tables VI and VII, limited to patients with non-serous tumors (N=77). In the first analysis, FIGO-stage, Type of tumor (I/II), and BMI (BMI ≤ 25 or BMI > 25) were all significant and independent predictive factors for recurrent disease. In non-serous tumors (Table VII), FIGO-stage, grade of tumor and p27 status, were independent predictive factors for recurrent disease. Again, BMI did not influence the risk of recurrent disease in non-serous tumors, when p27 was also incorporated in the model.

Figure 2. Survival analysis for the series of patients with non-serous tumors ($n=77$). Patients ($n=42$) with p27-positive tumors a significant better 5-year disease-free survival of 92% compared to survival of 77% for patients ($N=35$) with p27 negative tumors (Log-rank=16.340; $p=0.020$).

Discussion

In the present study, we evaluated the relevance of BMI in relation to some clinical and pathological features, including expression of p27, in a series of 128 patients with epithelial ovarian cancer in FIGO-stages I-II. Normal and underweight patients had a better 5-year disease-free survival compared to obese and overweight patients in the total series of 128 patients. Further, BMI was an independent and significant prognostic factor for disease-free survival and a significant predictive factor for recurrent disease in the overall series of tumors. Obese and overweight patients were older and more frequently had tumors of lower grade (G1 and G2) compared to underweight and normal weight patients. In the bivariate analysis of patients with non-serous tumors, BMI played a role, as normal and underweight patients had a better 5-year disease-free survival compared with obese and overweight

patients. However, this effect was lost when adjusted for age, FIGO stage, grade, and p27 status.

Patients with p27 positive non-serous tumors had significantly higher disease-free survival compared with p27 negative non-serous tumors. In a Cox multivariable analysis in non-serous tumors, only tumor grade and the p27 status were independent and significant prognostic factors for survival. In addition, FIGO-stage, tumor grade and the p27 status were predictive factors for recurrent disease. In this study, all the 16 clear cell tumors were classified as grade 3 tumors and this idem could explain why in the multivariate analysis tumor grade was a significant prognostic and predictive factor only in the subgroup of non-serous tumors.

Our findings are in line with a recent meta-analysis, suggesting that obese women with ovarian cancer have worse survival compared with normal weight women (5). However, although BMI is an adequate indicator of overweight and obese patients in clinical studies, it does not

Table III. Protein expression of p27 in tumors in the whole series in relation to different clinical and pathological subgroups in the two different BMI strata (N=128).

BMI strata	BMI≤25	BMI>25	N (%)
N (%)	69 (54)	59 (33)	p-Value
All tumors			(N=128)
p27+	48 (70)	25 (42)	
p27–	21 (30)	34 (58)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.002
Non-serous tumors			N=77
p27+	28 (70)	14 (38)	
p27–	12 (30)	23 (62)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.004
Serous tumors			N=49
p27+	18 (67)	11 (50)	
p27–	9 (33)	11 (50)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.237
Type I tumors			N=77
p27+	21 (58)	15 (37)	
p27–	15 (42)	26 (63)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.056
Type II tumors			N=51
p27+	27 (82)	10 (56)	
p27–	6 (18)	8 (44)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.044
No recurrence tumors			N=94
p27+	40 (70)	17 (46)	
p27–	17 (30)	20 (54)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.018
Recurrence tumors			N=34
p27+	8 (67)	8 (36)	
p27–	4 (33)	14 (64)	
p-Value (Chi-squared-test)			0.09

Table IV. Cox analysis (bivariate and multivariable); prognostic factors for disease-free survival for the whole series (N=128 patients).

Variable	Bivariate analysis		Multivariable analysis		p-Value
	HR	95%CI	HR	95%CI	
Age	1.015	0.986-1.045	1.003	0.969-1.038	0.840
Stage (I/II)	3.172	1.580-6.362	2.362	1.567-4.822	0.018
Type (I/II)	1.976	1.003-3.895	2.586	1.234-5.418	0.012
BMI**	2.507	1.239-5.073	2.744	1.190-6.329	0.017
P27**	0.626	0.319-1.270	0.751	0.368-1.529	0.430

BMI** (BMI≤25 or BMI>25); P27* (p27+ vs. p27–).

reflect the obesity-induced metabolic changes that may be involved in carcinogenesis (19). One commonly studied metabolic factor is leptin, which induces cell proliferation and angiogenesis, and inhibits apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells (20, 21). A previous study (22) including 161 patients

Table V. Cox analysis (bivariate and multivariable) with prognostic factors for disease-free survival for patients with non-serous tumors (N=77).

Variable	Bivariate analysis		Multivariable analysis		p-Value
	HR	95%CI	HR	95%CI	
Age	1.015	0.976-1.055	1.039	0.968-1.114	0.275
Stage (I/II)	4.223	1.620-11.009	2.660	0.906-7.809	0.074
Grade*	3.520	1.238-10.005	4.646	1.519-14.205	0.007
BMI**	2.740	0.961-7.810	1.697	0.496-5.800	0.398
P27#	0.308	0.108-0.876	0.229	0.075-0.706	0.010

*Clear cell tumors were all of Grade 3; BMI** (BMI≤25 or BMI>25); P27# (p27+ vs. p27–).

Table VI. Predictive factors for recurrent disease (bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis) (n=128 patients).

Variable	Bivariate analysis		Multivariable analysis		p-Value
	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	
Age	1.013	0.981-1.047	1.003	0.963-1.045	0.862
Stage (I/II)	7.959	2.801-22.617	6.239	2.067-19.376	0.001
Type (I/II)	2.456	1.099-5.490	3.618	1.299-10.075	0.013
BMI**	2.470	0.130-6.830	2.788	0.990-7.847	0.049
P27#	0.570	0.260-1.270	0.472	0.175-1.274	0.134

BMI** (BMI≤25 vs. BMI>25); P27# (p27+ vs. p27–).

Table VII. Predictive factors for recurrent disease (bivariate and multivariable logistic regression analysis) for patients with non-serous tumors (n=77).

Variable	Bivariate analysis		Multivariable analysis		p-Value
	OR	95%CI	OR	95%CI	
Age	1.015	0.971-1.060	1.039	0.968-1.114	0.275
Stage (I/II)	10.133	2.649-38.759	9.399	1.582-52.520	0.009
Grade*	4.920	1.494-16.193	32.860	3.819-282.729	0.001
BMI	0.131	0.016-1.096	3.214	0.550-18.766	0.186
P27	0.570	0.260-1.270	0.033	0.003-0.311	0.002

*Clear cell tumors were all of Grade 3.

with ovarian cancer in FIGO-stages III-IV, reported no correlation between BMI and the ratio of leptin/adiponectin, but adipose tissue produces numerous adipokines as leptin and adiponectin (8). Thus, only age and BMI were independent and prognostic factors for survival in a Cox multivariate analysis, but not tumor grade, FIGO-stage, optimal cytoreduction or the ratio of leptin/adiponectin.

Unfortunately, no information about leptin and adiponectin was available in the present work.

Very little information is available on the relationship between obesity and p27 status. In a previous study including 174 patients with ovarian cancer in FIGO-stages I-IV (23), positivity for p27 was found in tumors of the 139 (80%) out of 174 patients, detected by tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry. Their results could be compared to the results of our series, but positive p27 expression was found in tumors of 73 (57%) out of the 128 patients included, also detected by tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry. However, no differences according to BMI, FIGO-stage, tumor grade or survival, related to p27 status of tumors were detected in their study.

In a study of 349 epithelial ovarian patients from China (24), normal weight patients usually were of younger age and were in FIGO-stages I-II at diagnosis compared with patients in FIGO-stages III-IV at diagnosis. Thus, the subgroup of the 140 patients in FIGO-stages I-II were younger, had lower BMI, higher high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and lower triglyceride (TG) levels compared with the subgroup of the resting 209 patients in FIGO-stages III-IV.

The prognostic significance of p27 alterations in relation to BMI using 630 patients of stage I-IV colon cancers have been examined in a USA study (25). Tumors limited to nuclear positivity for p27 were interpreted as p27 positive. Compared to the 130 patients with p27 positive colon tumors, the resting 460 patients with no p27 expression, experienced poor tumor-specific and overall mortality. By comparing colon cancer mortality in that study between obese and non-obese patients (BMI >30)/(BMI ≤30), it was concluded, that the effect of p27 alterations on survival, were strong among obese patients with colon cancer and the adverse effect of obesity on survival appears to be limited because of the protective effect of a positive p27 expression (nuclear p27) in colon cancer tumors. Tumors limited to nuclear p27 expression were interpreted as p27 positive. However, in the present study, the staining for p27 was considered positive when strong granular staining of both the nuclei and cytoplasm of the tumor cells was detected. In summary, as criteria for p27 positivity were different from the criteria in our study, and primary tumors were of different origin, it is difficult to compare their results with results from the present study. However, their results suggest a host-tumor interaction, where p27 appears to play a role.

In a meta-analysis on patients with ovarian cancer including 905 patients, loss of p27 was associated with a worse survival (26). Therefore, they concluded, that the development of strategies for targeting p27 could be a reasonable therapeutic approach. Furthermore, as ovarian cancer has a poor prognosis, p27 has been implicated in tumor prognosis and drug-resistance in ovarian cancer studies (26). Also, p27 was involved in chemo-resistance of

SKOV3 cells, but up-regulated p27 expression, induced by demethylation, may enhance sensitivity to cisplatin through the regulation of the cell cycle (27). Finally, results from our study could indicate that the cell cycle regulator p27 could be included in the future treatment of ovarian cancer, especially of non-serous ovarian cancer.

Conflicts of Interest

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest regarding this study.

Authors' Contributions

Conceptualization: IS, TS, HA, ISP; Data curation: IS; Formal analysis: IS, ISP; Funding acquisition: IS, ISP; Investigation: IS, TS, HA; Methodology: TS, IS.

References

- 1 Ovarian cancer and body size: Individual participant meta-analysis including 25,157 women with ovarian cancer from 47 epidemiological studies. *PLoS Med* 9(4): e1001200, 2012. PMID: 21997171. DOI: 10.1097/IGC.0b013e31822d2aa3
- 2 Backes FJ, Nagel CI, Bussewitz E, Donner J, Hade E and Salani R: The impact of body weight on ovarian cancer outcomes. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 21(9): 1601-1605, 2011. PMID: 26769113. DOI: 10.1007/s11912-015-0488-3
- 3 Purcell SA, Elliott SA, Kroenke CH, Sawyer MB and Prado CM: Impact of body weight and body composition on ovarian cancer prognosis. *Curr Oncol Rep* 18(2): 8, 2016. PMID: 28588323. DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2017.162
- 4 Bandera EV, Lee VS, Qin B, Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, Powell CB and Kushi LH: Impact of body mass index on ovarian cancer survival varies by stage. *Br J Cancer* 117(2): 282-289, 2017. PMID: 28588323. DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2017.162
- 5 Protani MM, Nagle CM and Webb PM: Obesity and ovarian cancer survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)* 5(7): 901-910, 2012. PMID: 22609763. DOI: 10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-12-0048
- 6 Skirnisdottir I and Sorbe B: Prognostic impact of body mass index and effect of overweight and obesity on surgical and adjuvant treatment in early-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 18(2): 345-351, 2008. PMID: 17587318. DOI: 10.1111/j.1525-1438.2007.01013.x
- 7 Skirnisdottir I and Sorbe B: Body mass index as a prognostic factor in epithelial ovarian cancer and correlation with clinicopathological factors. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 89(1): 101-107, 2010. PMID: 19878086. DOI: 10.3109/00016340903322735
- 8 van Kruijsdijk RC, van der Wall E and Visseren FL: Obesity and cancer: The role of dysfunctional adipose tissue. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 18(10): 2569-2578, 2009. PMID: 19755644. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.Epi-09-0372
- 9 Ptak A, Kolaczowska E and Gregoraszczuk EL: Leptin stimulation of cell cycle and inhibition of apoptosis gene and protein expression in ovcar-3 ovarian cancer cells. *Endocrine* 43(2): 394-403, 2013. PMID: 22968658. DOI: 10.1007/s12020-012-9788-7
- 10 Foster I: Cancer: A cell cycle defect. *Radiography* 14(2): 144-149, 2008. DOI: 10.1016/j.radi.2006.12.001

- 11 Trimbos JB, Vergote I, Bolis G, Vermorken JB, Mangioni C, Madronal C, Franchi M, Tateo S, Zanetta G, Scarfone G, Giurgea L, Timmers P, Coens C and Pecorelli S: Impact of adjuvant chemotherapy and surgical staging in early-stage ovarian carcinoma: European organisation for research and treatment of cancer-adjuvant chemotherapy in ovarian neoplasm trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 95(2): 113-125, 2003. PMID: 12529344.
- 12 Kononen J, Bubendorf L, Kallioniemi A, Barlund M, Schraml P, Leighton S, Torhorst J, Mihatsch MJ, Sauter G and Kallioniemi OP: Tissue microarrays for high-throughput molecular profiling of tumor specimens. *Nat Med* 4(7): 844-847, 1998. PMID: 9662379.
- 13 Seidal T, Balaton AJ and Battifora H: Interpretation and quantification of immunostains. *Am J Surg Pathol* 25(9): 1204-1207, 2001. PMID: 11688582.
- 14 Kobel M, Kalloger SE, Boyd N, McKinney S, Mehl E, Palmer C, Leung S, Bowen NJ, Ionescu DN, Rajput A, Prentice LM, Miller D, Santos J, Swenerton K, Gilks CB and Huntsman D: Ovarian carcinoma subtypes are different diseases: Implications for biomarker studies. *PLoS Med* 5(12): e232, 2008. PMID: 19053170. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050232
- 15 Bjersand K, Seidal T, Sundstrom-Poromaa I, Akerud H and Skirnisdottir I: The clinical and prognostic correlation of hrnp18 and slc1a5 in pathogenesis and prognosis in epithelial ovarian cancer. *PLoS One* 12(6): e0179363, 2017. PMID: 28609484. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0179363
- 16 Skirnisdottir I and Seidal T: Association of p21, p21 p27 and p21 p53 status to histological subtypes and prognosis in low-stage epithelial ovarian cancer. *Cancer Genomics Proteomics* 10(1): 27-34, 2013. PMID: 23382584.
- 17 Skirnisdottir I, Seidal T and Akerud H: Differences in clinical and biological features between Type I and Type II tumors in FIGO stages I-II epithelial ovarian carcinoma. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 25(7): 1239-1247, 2015. PMID: 26035126. DOI: 10.1097/igc.0000000000000484
- 18 Skirnisdottir IA, Sorbe B, Lindborg K and Seidal T: Prognostic impact of p53, p27, and c-myc on clinicopathological features and outcome in early-stage (FIGO-stages I-II) epithelial ovarian cancer. *Int J Gynecol Cancer* 21(2): 236-244, 2011. PMID: 21270607. DOI: 10.1097/IGC.0b013e31820986e5
- 19 Nagle CM, Dixon SC, Jensen A, Kjaer SK, Modugno F, deFazio A, Fereday S, Hung J, Johnatty SE, Fasching PA, Beckmann MW, Lambrechts D, Vergote I, Van Nieuwenhuysen E, Lambrechts S, Risch HA, Rossing MA, Doherty JA, Wicklund KG, Chang-Claude J, Goodman MT, Ness RB, Moysich K, Heitz F, du Bois A, Harter P, Schwaab I, Matsuo K, Hosono S, Goode EL, Vierkant RA, Larson MC, Fridley BL, Hogdall C, Schildkraut JM, Weber RP, Cramer DW, Terry KL, Bandera EV, Paddock L, Rodriguez-Rodriguez L, Wentzensen N, Yang HP, Brinton LA, Lissowska J, Hogdall E, Lundvall L, Whittemore A, McGuire V, Sieh W, Rothstein J, Sutphen R, Anton-Culver H, Ziogas A, Pearce CL, Wu AH and Webb PM: Obesity and survival among women with ovarian cancer: Results from the ovarian cancer association consortium. *Br J Cancer* 113(5): 817-826, 2015. PMID: 26151456. DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2015.245
- 20 Chen C, Chang YC, Lan MS and Breslin M: [corrigendum] Leptin stimulates ovarian cancer cell growth and inhibits apoptosis by increasing cyclin d1 and mcl-1 expression via the activation of the mek/erk1/2 and pi3k/akt signaling pathways. *Int J Oncol* 49(2): 847, 2016. PMID: 27279381. DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2016.3564
- 21 Chen C, Chang YC, Lan MS and Breslin M: Leptin stimulates ovarian cancer cell growth and inhibits apoptosis by increasing cyclin d1 and mcl-1 expression via the activation of the mek/erk1/2 and pi3k/akt signaling pathways. *Int J Oncol* 42(3): 1113-1119, 2013. PMID: 23354006. DOI: 10.3892/ijo.2013.1789
- 22 Diaz ES, Karlan BY and Li AJ: Obesity-associated adipokines correlate with survival in epithelial ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* 129(2): 353-357, 2013. PMID: 23402904. DOI: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2013.02.006
- 23 Felix AS, Sherman ME, Hewitt SM, Gunja MZ, Yang HP, Cora RL, Boudreau V, Ylaya K, Lissowska J, Brinton LA and Wentzensen N: Cell-cycle protein expression in a population-based study of ovarian and endometrial cancers. *Front Oncol* 5: 25, 2015. PMID: 25709969. DOI: 10.3389/fonc.2015.00025
- 24 Zhang Y, Wu J, Liang JY, Huang X, Xia L, Ma DW, Xu XY and Wu PP: Association of serum lipids and severity of epithelial ovarian cancer: An observational cohort study of 349 chinese patients. *J Biomed Res* 32(5): 336-342, 2018. PMID: 30249816. DOI: 10.7555/jbr.32.20170096
- 25 Ogino S, Shima K, Nosho K, Irahara N, Baba Y, Wolpin BM, Giovannucci EL, Meyerhardt JA and Fuchs CS: A cohort study of p27 localization in colon cancer, body mass index, and patient survival. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 18(6): 1849-1858, 2009. PMID: 19505918. DOI: 10.1158/1055-9965.Epi-09-0181
- 26 Lu M, Wang Y, Xu F, Xiang J and Chen D: The prognostic of p27(kip1) in ovarian cancer: A meta-analysis. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 293(1): 169-176, 2016. PMID: 26198169. DOI: 10.1007/s00404-015-3817-8
- 27 Zhao Y, Li Q, Wu X and Chen P: Upregulation of p27kip1 by demethylation sensitizes cisplatin-resistant human ovarian cancer skov3 cells. *Mol Med Rep* 14(2): 1659-1666, 2016. PMID: 27314502. DOI: 10.3892/mmr.2016.5399

Received July 4, 2019

Revised July 17, 2019

Accepted July 18, 2019